Note

COMPUTER-DETERMINED KINETIC PARAMETERS FROM TG CURVES. PART XI *

LEO REICH and S.S. STIVALA

Department of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Stevens Institute of Technology, Hoboken, NJ 07030 (U.S.A.)

(Received 15 June 1984)

In a previous publication [1], the present authors presented a computer algorithm (and program) whereby one of ten theoretically possible solid-state decomposition mechanisms could be discerned using non-isothermal TG data. Further, the corresponding value of activation energy could be determined concurrently. In the present paper, isothermal TG data will be analyzed, using a similar computer algorithm, to again distinguish one of ten theoretically possible solid-state decomposition mechanisms and to simultaneously obtain the corresponding value of the rate constant (k).

THEORY

For an isothermal decomposition reaction, we may write

$$g(\alpha)/t = k$$

where t = reaction time, $g(\alpha) = \int_0^{\alpha} d\alpha / f(\alpha)$, $\alpha = \text{fractional conversion}$, and $f(\alpha) = \text{some function of } \alpha$ which is theoretically possible. From eqn. (1), for any particular possible mechanism postulated, various values of k can be obtained from various $\alpha - t$ data pairs. Thus, an average k value (\overline{k}) can be readily calculated for each mechanism postulated along with its mean deviation (MD). We may then define the percent deviation (PD) as

$$MD \times 100/\bar{k} = PD$$

(2)

(1)

The mechanism which possesses the lowest value of PD is then considered to be the most probable mechanism (its \overline{k} value has already been determined).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The following ten theoretical mechanisms were examined to ascertain which one of them conformed best to the isothermal TG data: A4, A3 and

0040-6031/84/\$03.00 © 1984 Elsevier Science Publishers B.V.

^{*} For Part X see ref. 2.

A2 (random nucleation, Avrami-Erofeev equations); R2 and R3 (phase boundary reaction, cylindrical and spherical symmetry, respectively); F1 (random nucleation, one nucleus per particle); D1, D2, D3 and D4 (corresponding to 1-dimensional diffusion, 2-dimensional diffusion-cylindrical symmetry, 3-dimensional diffusion-Jander spherical symmetry, and 3-dimensional diffusion-Ginstling/Brounshtein spherical symmetry).

In the Appendix is given a computer printout of the various isothermal TG data employed (cf. lines 500-540) along with results of their computer analysis. The most probable mechanisms are listed with corresponding values of \bar{k} and PD. From the Appendix, it can readily be observed that the agreement between calculated and expected results is excellent. It may also be noted here that the data, with the exception of those in line 520, were generated by theoretical means.

APPENDIX

A computer printout of various isothermal TG data $(\alpha - t)$ used (lines 500-540) and the results of their computer analysis for the most probable mechanisms and corresponding average rate constants.

500 DATA .4124,10,.5613,20,.6664,30,.7475,40,.8133,50,.8676,60,.9127,70,.

950	00 ,80:REM	02, K=.010, 8·	-PRS.			
MECHSM	K-VALUE	%-DEVI	N			ı
A4===>	.0338952968==:	==>18.9911878				
A3===>	.0340216939==:	==>17.2654217				
A2=≈=≠≥.	.0345137944==	==>13,909139				
R2=≈==>	.0135595156==	≃≈>9,02079859				
R3===>	.0100310131==:	==>7.73949864				
F1===>	.0381143822==	==>4.93793298				
D1====>	.01381104====	>2.89291754				
D2===>	7.99894344E-0	3===≠>2.69346	21E-03			
D4===>	2.60105788E-0	3===>1.19533	117			
D3===>	3.64051596E-0	3===>3.44233	729			
I I MECHSM	REM BROWN, I	R3, K=.0073, I	BPRS.	,,		
					•	· •
A4===>	.0300 664 67 8 ≈≕	×= >16.1936974				
A3===>	.0291535619==	×=>13.6515201				
A2====>	.0278199407==	=>8.90285136				
R2===>	9,96465117E-0.	3====>,95398/0	543			
R3===>	7.29269758E~0	3====>.014208	0549			
F1===>	.0270494522==	==>2.01454737				
D1===>	9.36890034E-0	3====>7.00188	743			
D2===>(6.70486861E-0	3====>8.36863	233			
D4====>>	1.73422147E~0	3===≈>8.861060	503			
02==***>	2.39428878E-0	3====>9.72973	03			
THE MOST	T PROBABLE ME	CHANISM IS: R	3. WITH K	= 7.3E~03,	AND %-DEV	N.= .0142

520 DATA .153,10,.283,20,.4,30,.5,40,.58,50,.65,60,.71,70,.755,80: REM FROST/PEARSON, F1, K=.0175, 8 PRS.

 MECHSM
 K-VALUE
 %-DEVN

 A4====>.0272067152===>16.8289571
 A3===>.025467354===>14.4780037

 A2===>.0225812939===>10.0572745

 R2===>7.15176691E=03===>1.42518514

 R3===>5.05763082E=03===>1.42518514

 F1===>.0172134763===>.80848164

 F1===>.0172134763===>.441527509

 D1====>5.75513638E=03===>7.41386123

 D2====>3.69726118E=03===>8.32401447

 D4====>9.04671116E=04===>8.63745683

 D3====>1.10250522E=03===>9.21253905

THE MOST PROBABLE MECHANISM IS: F1, WITH K= .0172, AND %-DEVN.= .4415

530 DATA .007968,10,.061995,20,.19426,30,.4007,40,.63212,50,.82236,60,.93 569,70: REM A3, K=.02, 7-PRS.

MECHSM	K-VALUE	%-DEVN		
04===>>	0723440779====	-\4 0335890		
A3====>	.0199999614====	=>2.35637539E~0	5	
A2===>	.0172209765===	=>6.8660057		
R2===>	5.60100019E-03	====>13.267537		
R3≂==≠>	4.15554843E-03=	====>13.3702135	5	
F1===>	.0160000145====	=>13.5714369		
D1===>	5.32008239E-03	====>14.2686659	7	
D2====>	3.97754937E-03=	====>14.2742826	5	
D4===>	1.05348142E-03=	====>14.2761147	7	
D3===>	1.53335036E-03=	====>14.2791069	7	
THE MOS	T PROBABLE MECH	HANISM IS: A3,	WITH K= .02	, AND %-DEVN.

540 DATA .06059,10,.2212,20,.4302,30,.6321,40,.7904,50,.8946,60,.9532,70 ; REM A2, K=.025, 7-PRS.

= 0

MECHSM K-VALUE %-DEVN

A4====>.0286990473===>10.6034405 A3===>.0270701192===>6.65762921 A2===>.0249995949===>6.04068104E-04 R2===>8.607793E-03===>9.69312326 F1===>.0249985669===>10.7138947 D1===>8.25491498E-03===>13.6503961 D2===>6.2228692E-03===>13.8555464 D4===>1.65733214E-03===>13.9242951 D3===>2.44659808E-03===>14.0374755

THE MOST PROBABLE MECHANISM IS: A2, WITH K= .025, AND %-DEVN.= 6E-04

L. Reich and S.S. Stivala, Thermochim. Acta, 73 (1984) 165.
 L. Reich and S.S. Stivala, Thermochim. Acta, 80 (1984) 185.